IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
Appeal No. 203 OF 2007
IN
Notice of Motion No. 390 of 2007
in
Suit No. 220 of 2006.

Turab Taherali Chitalwala & ors. ... ... Appellants
vs.
Hatim Rasulbhai Chitalwala & Ors. . Respondents

Mr. Simil Purohit i/b. M/s. Lokhandwalla & Co., for appellants
Mr. Ashish Kamat with Vinod Kothari and Kaizar Merchant i/b. Apex Law Partners for the respondents.

CORAM: R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR
AND
D. G. KARNIK JJ.

DATE: April , 11, 2007

P.C.:

Learned advocate for the appellants states that the appellants would move the learned Single Judge for necessary modification of the earlier order in relation to flat no.2, to enable the appellants to move to the flat no.2 and thereafter the receiver can take possession of flat no.4. In order to enable the appellants to move the learned Single Judge for necessary modification of the earlier order, the advocate for

the appellants prays for 15 days time and prays that the impugned order may not be executed till then. With this request he prays for leave to withdraw the appeal. The appeal is accordingly allowed to be withdrawn. The impugned order shall not be given effect to for a period of 15 days from today.

(R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR J.)

(D. G. KARNIK J.)